Step 1: Prompt for Persona\_creation

Given a homophobic or transphobic text: ##input\_text

Your task is to create a pool of 6 to 10 debate agents, each of whom will refute the given homophobic or transphobic text from a distinct perspective. Each agent should represent a unique counter narrative that is relevant to the homophobic or transphobic text.

For each agent, assign a generic LGBT+ persona description in one sentence, along with a corresponding counter narrative that focuses on a specific angle to refute the proposal. Ensure that each agent's counter narrative is distinct and relevant to the homophobic or transphobic text. To foster diversity and fairness, the agents should reflect a variety of communities and perspectives.

Please format your persona descriptions as follows, with each line being a JSON object:

{"agent\_id": 0, "description": the\_description\_of\_Agent\_0, " counter narrative ": the\_ counter narrative \_of\_Agent\_0}

{"agent\_id": 1, "description": the\_description\_of\_Agent\_1, " counter narrative ": the\_ counter narrative \_of\_Agent\_1}

{"agent\_id": 2, "description": the\_description\_of\_Agent\_2, " counter narrative ": the\_ counter narrative \_of\_Agent\_2}

...

Step 2: Prompt for Personna pool selection

Given a homophobic or transphobic text: ##input\_text

You need to build a team of three agents, to work together and collaboratively formulate a persuasive counterargument that refutes the given homophobic or transphobic text.

Now given the following candidates, where each candidate has a unique persona offering a different perspective relevant to the topic at hand. You need to select three agents that you think can together form a strong team to achieve the task.

When making your selections, consider the importance of diversity to ensure a balanced and fair discussion. For each selection, give the reason why you select the candidate.

## Candidate list:

###candidate\_list

Please select three candidates and add a reason. Each line of output should be a JSON object as follows:

{"agent\_id": 0, "description": the\_description\_of\_Agent\_0, "counter\_narrative": the\_counter narrative\_of\_Agent\_0, "reason": the\_reason\_of\_selection}

{"agent\_id": 1, "description": the\_description\_of\_Agent\_1, "counter\_narrative": the\_counter narrative\_of\_Agent\_1, "reason": the\_reason\_of\_selection}

...

Step 3: Prompt for Debate\_discussion\_plan

## Goal: Modeling a debate process to analyze a given text on a homophobic/transphobic topic, and formulate a well-structured counter narrative plan to refute the text based on the debate discussion.

## Participants and Roles

1. A Main Team of three members: Agent A, Agent B, and Agent C

- Stance: Oppose the homophobic/transphobic text;

- Goal: Discuss together to propose a persuasive counter narrative plan outlining the overall logical flow to refute the homophobic/transphobic text.

- Specific Personas and counter narrative of the team members:

- Agent A: persona\_a;

- Agent B: persona\_b;

- Agent C: persona\_c;

2. A Critic

- Stance: Support the homophobic/transphobic text;

- Goal: You Disagree with the Main Team. Identify and challenge weaknesses in the Main Team's discussion, and debate with the Main team.

## Additional Guidelines

- The discussion should be conducted for multiple rounds until the Main Team members are satisfied with their counter narrative plan and Critic is persuaded.

- The discussion should provide a rigorous reasoning so that the logic flow is persuasive and coherent.

- Plan Quality: The plan should be abstract and concise. It should contain several main points, where each point can be supported by sub-points. There could be an optional acknowledgment point.

-----------------

homophobic/transphobic text: {input\_text}

Now, simulate this discussion process and generate the final plan given the homophobic/transphobic text. The output should be in the format of:

start\_of\_discussion

the discussion process here

end\_of\_discussion

\_start\_of\_plan

the final plan here

end\_of\_plan

Step 4: Prompt for Plan\_Distillation

## Background:

You are provided with a multi-round discussion process aimed at analyzing and refuting a given proposition on a controversial homophobic/transphobic topic. The participants are as follows:

1. A Main Team of Three Members: Agent A, Agent B, and Agent C

- Stance: Oppose the homophobic/transphobic text;

- Objective: Discuss and collaborate to brainstorm and develop a proposal that outlines the comprehensive logical flow to effectively refute the homophobic/transphobic text.

- Specific Personas and counter narrative of the team members:

- Agent A: persona\_a;

- Agent B: persona\_b;

- Agent C: persona\_c;

2. A Critic

- Stance: Support the homophobic/transphobic text;

- Objective: Challenge the Main Team by identifying weaknesses in their discussion and debating their points.

## Task:

Summarize and distill the discussion into a plan that outlines the overall idea of the discussion, which will be used for generating a counter narrative.

- The plan should be abstract and concise, containing up to three main points, each of which can be supported by sub-points. An optional acknowledgment point may also be included.

- The plan must strictly adhere to the ideas developed by the Main Team during the discussion.

-------

- homophobic/transphobic text: {input\_text}

- Discussion Process:

{discussion\_process}

-------

Now, generate the final plan given the homophobic/transphobic text:

Step 5: Prompt for Counter Narrative Generation

## homophobic/transphobic text: {text}

## Plan:

{plan}

------------

## Task:

Write a coherent, persuasive and well-structured counter narrative response to refute the homophobic/transphobic text based on the plan. You do not need to include section title in the counter narrative.

Counter narrative: